

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.O. 20231 www.uspto.gov

LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
6/29/2001	Aruna Nathan	ETH-1572	1514
08/12/2002			
. CIAMPORCERO JR. EXAMINER	NER		
JOHNSON & JOHNSON		LEVY, NEIL S	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1616 DATE MAILED: 08/12/2002	6
	08/12/2002 PORCERO JR. DN HNSON PLAZA	6/29/2001 Aruna Nathan  08/12/2002 PORCERO JR. DN HNSON PLAZA	6/29/2001 Aruna Nathan ETH-1572  08/12/2002 PORCERO JR. EXAMI DN HNSON PLAZA NJ 08933-7003  ART UNIT 1616

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary 5 Application S76 Examine Application	No. / Applicant(s)  SO ARMLO etal  Group Art Unit  6
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov	er sheet beneath the correspondence address
Peri d for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	30 LOUS FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enfrom the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the standard for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) for Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the approximation.</li> </ul>	atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
Status V/ 3// 0/	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
- This design is a second	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal ma accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 45	atters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 53 O.G. 213.
Disp sition of Claims	
$\beta$ -etaim(s) $(-5)$	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	
	is/are objected to.
Udami(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Claim(s)	requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority dominates of t</li></ul>	ocuments have been
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsp rson's Patent Drawing R view, PTO-948	□ Other
Office Action Su	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1616

Receipt is acknowledged of IDS, Declaration, and Drawings of 8/31, 10/09, 8/30.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, 31, 56, drawn to medical devices, wax, classified in class600, subclass 36+.
- II. Claim 13, drawn to bioactive devices, classified in class 424, and subclass 409.
- III. Claim 14, drawn to medical devices, polyester, classified in class 523, subclass 105.
- IV. Claims 15, 16, drawn to coated medical devices, classified in class 424, subclass 78.09.
- V. Claims 17-30, drawn to bone replacement device, classified in class 424, subclass 602.
- VI. Claims 32-48; 57, drawn to compositions, classified in class 71, subclass 64.01.
- VIII. Claims 49-55, 58, drawn to seeds, classified in class 504, subclass 100.

Because the Groups I-VII have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and their recognized divergent subject matter, and the search for any one group is not required for any other group, and because a search and examination of the entire application would place an undue burden on the Examiner, the present restriction requirement is proper for examination purposes.

Art Unit: 1616

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of polybasic acid: acid, anhydride, ester, acid halides or activated esters.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4, 7-24, 26-35, 38-58 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of ester: glycolide, caprolactone, carbonate, dioxanone, dioxepan.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 14-16, 48, 53 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of filler: calcium phosphate, calcium carbonate, barium carbonate, calcium sulfate, barium carbonate, calcium sulfate, barium sulfate.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 17-19, 22-30 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of bioactive: growth factor-resperidone.

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 13, 22, 27, 32-44, 47, 54, 57 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of device: those of claim 31: sutures—stents—plates.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-12, 31 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of replacement: demineralized bone, -- plasma, -marrow, fragments (as of claim 24).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 17-30 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 1616

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Additional species requirement may be instituted—for example, claim 54 does not provide specific compounds.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP Sec. 812.01 Application/Control Number: 09/896,004 Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is 308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday- Friday 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305-4556 for regular communications and 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

Levy:mv August 8, 2002

> NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER